Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

REPORT INFORMATION:

2006 Shellenhamer, J.P., et. al.

A Phase II Archeological Evaluation of Sites 18MO409 and 18MO410 Located within Cabin Branch: a Residential Development Situated on Clarksburg and W. Old Baltimore Roads in Montgomery County, Maryland.

Submitted to Cabin Branch Management, LLC.

Library ID No: 97002597 Catalog/Shelving ID: MO 220

Sites examined:

8MO409 18MO410

NRHP Eligible: N NRHP Eligible: N

<u>Justification</u> <u>Justification</u>

Research Firm/Institutution:

Archeological Testing and Cansulting, Inc. 12025 Remington Drive Silver Spring, MD 20902

Project Details:

Phase I

Phase II X

Phase III

Project Justification:

This report describes the results of a 2005 Phase II testing program at historic sites 18MO409 and 18MO410 in Montgomery County. Both sites were previously identified during a Phase I archeological survey of the Cabin Branch property, a 539 acre parcel located between Interstate 270, Clarksburg Road, and Old Baltimore Road which was to be the site of a (then) planned residential development. The archeological evaluation of these two sites was connected with the application for a wetlands permit through the US Army Corps of Engineers and the Maryland Department of Environment. Thus, this evaluation study was required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended). Each of these sites was determined to be threatened by the proposed development of the property.

MAC Accession: 2006.050

Project Objectives:

-Determine when each site was occupied and whether or not the periods of occupation at the two sites overlap.

-Given the nature of artifact recovery, establish the function of each site.

-Establish the temporal affiliation of the dwellings at each site, and identify any outbuildings and their temporal associations.

-Assess the socio-economic status of site inhabitants.

-Make interpretive statements about the distribution of artifacts at each site.

-Determine if either site is unique and whether or not it has research value.

-Assess the stratigraphic integrity of each site and the presence/absence of intact cultural features.

-Determine if either site is significant or eligible for listing on the

Research Potential:

Though archeological evidence of the Waters family's occupation of the site was encountered during the Phase II excavations at 18MO409, the research potential of the site was found to be dramatically reduced by a lack of soils with good stratigraphic integrity and displaced artifact recovery. Apparently, the continuous habitation of this area dramatically impacted the yards connected with this early residence, leaving the soils completely disturbed by modern materials. Thus, conducting future excavations at Site 18MO409 was thought to provide little additional research value beyond that already obtained through the evaluation process. The site is not considered eligible for listing on the NRHP.

Though archeological evidence of the Shaw family's occupation of the site was encountered during the Phase II excavations at 18MO410, the research potential of the site was found to be dramatically reduced by a lack of soils with good stratigraphic integrity and displaced artifact recovery. Apparently, the continuous habitation of this area dramatically impacted the yards connected with this early residence, leaving the soils completely disturbed by modern materials. Thus, conducting future excavations at Site 18MO410 was thought to provide little additional research value beyond that already obtained through the evaluation process. The site is not considered eligible for listing on the NRHP.